

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/272,075	03/18/1999	ANDREAS HENNIGER	GR-98-P-1381	5171
7	7590 09/13/2002			
LERNER AND GREENBERG			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE HOLLYWOOI	E BOX 2480 D, FL 330222480		CHANG, Л	JNGWON
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	/4
				/ 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/272,075	HENNIGER ET AL.	
Advisory Notion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jungwon Chang	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 29 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicati	to a ion in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. \$ R 1.136(a) and the appropent of the fee. The appropriation or the final Control of	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) L they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	,		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	•
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.			
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on $___$ is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	
10. Other:		Zavrellan	\sim
	PRI	ZARNÍ MAUNG MARY EXAMINER	_

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As to applicant's argument on page 6, the sentence of "an administration authorization in the instant application is an authorization for changing data in an internal database of the telecommunication system" is not found in claims. Claimed subject matter, not the specification, is measure of invention. Limitations in the specification cannot be read into the claims for the purpose of avoiding the prior art.